## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,063	03/22/2004	Pashupati Dutta	U 015096-0	1544	
	7590 11/0	007	EXAMINER		
Ladas & Parry 26 West 61 Street			SEAMAN, D.N.	SEAMAN, D MARGARET M	
New York, NY	10023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/806,063	DUTTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	D. Margaret Seaman	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ly be timely filed  AS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	August 2007.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	s, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 9-17 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/o	rn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ints have been received. Ints have been received in Aplication in the iority documents have been recall (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III (claims 9-17) in the reply filed on 8/10/2007 is acknowledged.
- 2. This application contains claims 1-8 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 8/10/2007. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/806,063 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0209241 (1987), Bamoharram (J of Mol Cat, 2006).

EP and Bamoharram both teach a method of making 2- and 4- picolines from acetaldehyde and ammonia in the presence of a (heteropolyacid) catalyst. The difference between the art cited and the instant claims is the specific temperatures, binder sizes and ratios. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to optimize the known reactions to the instantly claimed reaction. Rationale: the optimization of a known reaction is within the skill of the ordinary artisan.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Margaret Seaman whose telephone number is 571-272-0694. The examiner can normally be reached on 730am-4pm, Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. Margaret Seaman/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

dms